

1 SAMUEL R. MAIZEL (Bar No. 189301)  
2 samuel.maizel@dentons.com  
3 TANIA M. MOYRON (Bar No. 235736)  
4 tania.moyron@dentons.com  
5 AHMED (“ANDY”) R. JINNAH (Bar No. 297907)  
6 andy.jinnah@dentons.com  
7 DENTONS US LLP  
8 601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
9 Los Angeles, California. 90017-5704  
10 Telephone: (213) 623-9300  
11 Facsimile: (213) 623-9224  
12

13 ANDREW T. SOLOMON (*admitted pro hac vice*)  
14 asolomon@solomoncramer.com  
15 SOLOMON & CRAMER LLP  
16 1441 Broadway, Suite 6026  
17 New York, New York 10018  
18 Telephone: (212) 884-9102  
19 Facsimile: (516) 368-3896  
20

21 Attorneys for Plaintiff Matthew Pliskin,  
22 as Trustee of the ICPW Nevada Trust  
23

24  
25 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
26 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (WESTERN DIVISION)**  
27

28 MATTHEW PLISKIN, AS TRUSTEE OF THE  
ICPW NEVADA TRUST

Plaintiff,

-against-

ROBERT GOLDSTEIN and DRG STRATEGIC,  
LLC d/b/a/ MERIDIAN GLOBAL,

Defendants.

Case. No.: 2:18-cv-09491-FMO(ASx)

**[PROPOSED] ORDER ON  
DEFENDANTS’ MOTION TO DISMISS**

On January 16, 2019, Defendants Robert Goldstein and DRG Strategic filed a Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff’s Complaint, and papers in support thereof, for lack of personal jurisdiction. ECF

1 No. 15. On January 24, 2019, Plaintiff Matthew Pliskin, as Trustee of the ICPW Nevada Trust, filed  
2 his Opposition, and papers in support thereof, to Defendants' motion. ECF Nos. 19 & 20.

3 After having reviewed and considered the submissions of the parties and the arguments of  
4 counsel, the Court finds that Defendants are properly subject to personal jurisdiction in this forum.  
5 For the reasons stated in Plaintiff's Memorandum in Opposition, ECF No. 19, Defendants have  
6 sufficient "minimum contacts" with California that are sufficiently related to the causes of action  
7 "such that the maintenance of the suit does not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial  
8 justice." *Oakley, Inc. v. Jofa AB*, 287 F. Supp. 2d 1111, 1114 (C.D. Cal. 2003) (citing *Data Disc,*  
9 *Inc. v. Sys. Tech. Assoc., Inc.*, 557 F.2d 1280, 1287 (9th Cir. 1977)). Defendants' Motion to Dismiss  
10 is therefore DENIED.

11  
12 IT IS SO ORDERED.

13  
14 DATED: \_\_\_\_\_, 2019

\_\_\_\_\_  
The Honorable Fernando M. Olguin  
United States District Court Judge